YOUTHBUILD CHARLESTON CHARTER SCHOOL 7555 N. Spartan Blvd. North Charleston, SC 29420 9-12 High School GRADES 79 Students ENROLLMENT Helen T. McKune 843-552-1474 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria L. Goodloe 843-937-6319 Ms. Nancy Cook 843-760-2635 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: N/A Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A IMPROVEMENT RATING: N/A ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: z This school met 0 out of 4 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	N/A	N/A	N/A
2004	N/A	N/A	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006
Passed 2 subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Passed 1 subtest	25.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Passed no subtests	75.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with	
		Students Like Ours	
Percent	N/A	N/A	

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	N/A	N/A
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	N/A	N/A
Seniors who met the grade point average	N/A	N/A

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	N/A	N/A		
Number of Diplomas	N/A	N/A		
Rate	N/A	N/A		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	
Gender								
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
African-American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

HSAP PERFORMANCE				ш,	ш,	ш,		ш,	Η,
	Enrollment 1st	ہ / ھ	% Below Basis	} /	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced of	Performance Objective	Participation O
		resting %	MB B	% Basic) Sficie	Vanc			ja / ja
		/ %	Be _l	/ %	%	P4%	Pof		
	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	/	/ %	/	<i> </i> ``	/	% Z	1 ~ 0	/ · ·
	sh/Langua	ge Arts - S							
All Students	14	57.1	83.3	16.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	NO	1/5
Gender		110		1/0	1/0	1/0	110	21/4	N. 1/
Male .	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Female	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group White	1 0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
	14		N/A N/A		N/A N/A	N/A N/A			
African-American Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	I/S I/S	1/5
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status Not Disabled	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Migrant Status	1 0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Migrant	14								
Non-Migrant	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	1 0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	1/0	1//
Limited English Proficient	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Non-Limited English Proficient	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status	1 40	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	1/0	110
Subsidized meals	10	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	N/A I/S	I/S N/A	1/S N/A
Full-pay meals	•	•	•				1/5	I IN/A	IN/F
	Mathemati						NI/A	NO	1/6
All Students	14	50.0	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NO	1/5
Gender Male	1 0	I/S	l uo	I/S	I/S	1/0	1/0	N/A	N/A
	8		I/S			I/S	I/S		
Female	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group White	1 0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
African-American	14	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	1/S	1/3
Aincan-American Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	1/S	1/3
	0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A		1/S	1/3
Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status	0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/5	1/3
Not Disabled	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Disabled	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Migrant Status	1 0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/3	1/3
Migrant Status	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N//
viigrant Non-Migrant	14	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	14	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN//
	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S]/:
imited English Profisiont		IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A			1/3
Limited English Proficient	_	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/
Non-Limited English Proficient	14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	_	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N//

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Touti Dulla Charleston Charter School					1001013
SCHOOL PROFILE					
	Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 79)					
Retention rate	31.1%	N/A		18.3%	9.1%
Attendance rate	87.0%	N/A		97.0%	96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	N/A		0.0%	5.8%
With disabilities other than speech	0.0%	N/A		17.4%	12.7%
Older than usual for grade	88.6%	N/A		19.8%	9.8%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	0.0%	N/R		0.0%	1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	0.0%	N/R		0.0%	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/AV			N/A	53.8%
Annual dropout rate	N/A	N/A		0.0%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A	N/A		1.0%	3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	N/A	N/A		215	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	N/A	N/A		24.3%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	N/A	N/A		64.2%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A		97.3%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 4)					
Teachers with advanced degrees	25.0%	N/A		46.4%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	25.0%	N/A		78.4%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers**	0.0%	N/A		84.6%	89.5%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	0.0%			10.8%	8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A		85.4%	86.2%
Teacher attendance rate	97.4%	N/R		94.6%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	I/S	I/S		\$40,532	\$41,060
Prof. development days/teacher	9.0 days	N/R		9.1 days	10.6 days
School					
Principal's years at school	1.0	N/R		2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	16.5 to 1	N/R		13.4 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	84.2%	N/R		87.3%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	N/A	N/A		\$10,808	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	N/A	N/A		61.2%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Poor	N/R		Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	99.0%	N/R		85.5%	89.3%
SACS accreditation	No	N/R		No	Yes
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Good	N/A		Average	Good
10.11 100.14	1 1 44		Our District		tate
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty			88.1%		.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty	/ schools**		87.8%		.1%
10.11 100.11		S	tate Objective		e Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school*	•		65.0%		No
Student attendance in this school			95.3%	ly gualified teachers	No

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

YouthBuild Charleston Charter School opened its doors for the first time on August 11, 2003. Our purpose as a school is to provide an alternative education option for at-risk students to learn in a non-traditional environment. The school will affect change in students in attendance and assist them to reach their goals through:

—Developing student learning capacities and academic skills for students enrolled so that they may qualify for a high school diploma and have an effective springboard to post-secondary education and the job market.

—Developing the learning opportunities of the students by utilizing a smaller teacher-to-student ratio than is generally offered in traditional classrooms.

—Providing opportunities that will motivate the school's students to achieve optimal learning.

—Offering innovative approaches to education, including but not limited to, project-based learning, experiential and service-based learning, apprenticeship training, mentoring, and job-shadowing opportunities.

—Encouraging communication among public charter schools by taking a leadership role within South Carolina.

As indicated in our charter, YouthBuild Charleston Charter School is committed to and implemented a learning environment that addresses the full range of students' alternative learning styles. This commitment includes employing core curriculum content standards and achieving high academic standards for all students. We will measure student success by assessing skills in use and in context, as well as by employing proficiency tests. Our school-home-community partnership will increase stakeholder involvement and participation in promoting the social, emotional, and cognitive growth of each child, the foundation of our plan for success. We are also committed to being a professional staff that functions as an educational team with the Principal providing leadership and guidance.

Our primary challenges this year were centered on the issue of adequate transportation for our students, and parental involvement. Transportation became a problem when CARTA discontinued bus service to the area where our school is located. We will make every effort to secure a school bus to eliminate this problem. Concerning the issue of parental involvement we are continuing to enhance parental involvement through our YouthBuild Parent Support Coalition. Workshops, GED skills training, and a baby-sitting service are just a few areas addressed to increase parental involvement. The Parent Support Coalition meets monthly.

YouthBuild Charleston Charter School will continue to offer an integrated holistic curriculum, utilize learner-centered techniques, comprehensive community involvement, cutting edge technology, and an intimate nurturing environment that enhances a positive self-image. We are a school where we won't let students fall through the cracks.

Helen T. McKune

Deborah S. Williams

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	8	0	0				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	75.0%	N/R	N/R				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	75.0%	N/R	N/R				
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	75.0%	N/R	N/R				
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without	out grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.				